

The (unexpected) importance of knowing α

Brian Harbourne
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Nebraska
 Lincoln, NE 68588-0323 USA
 email: bharbour@math.unl.edu

February 1, 2008

Acknowledgements: The author thanks the sponsors of the Siena conference for their support and for providing such a pleasant environment for discussing mathematics, and the NSA for its support of his research.

Abstract

We show that the determination, for all $r \geq 0$, of the Hilbert functions of all fat point subschemes of $\mathbf{P}^d$ with support at r generic points is equivalent to determining, for all $r \geq 0$, the least degrees α such that the Hilbert functions are positive (and hence to determining the classes of all effective divisors on blow ups of $\mathbf{P}^d$ at r generic points). We also use this point of view for $d = 2$ to show that the following conjecture is, surprisingly, equivalent to the standard conjecture for the Hilbert function of fat points in the plane with generic support: for any reduced irreducible curve C on a blow up of $\mathbf{P}^2$ at generic points, we conjecture that $C^2 \geq g - 1$, where g is the arithmetic genus of C .

1 Introduction

For simplicity, we work over the complex numbers, $\mathbf{C}$. Fix an integer $d \geq 2$ (the case of $d = 1$ being trivial). Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$ be a finite sequence of positive integers, let $p_1, \dots, p_r$ be generic points of $\mathbf{P}^d$, and consider the fat points ideal $I(\mathbf{m}, d)$ generated by all forms in $\mathbf{C}[x_0, \dots, x_d]$ which vanish at each point p_i to order at least m_i .

A significant open problem is:

Problem 1.1 For each finite sequence $\mathbf{m}$ of positive integers, determine the Hilbert function of $I(\mathbf{m}, d)$; i.e., for each t , determine the dimension $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} I(\mathbf{m}, d)_t$ of the homogeneous component of $I(\mathbf{m}, d)$ of degree t .

An apparently easier (but still open) problem is:

Problem 1.2 For each finite sequence $\mathbf{m}$ of positive integers, determine $\alpha(\mathbf{m}, d)$; i.e., the least degree t such that $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} I(\mathbf{m}, d)_t > 0$.

A related open problem is:

Problem 1.3 For each integer $r > 0$, determine the dimension $h^0(X(r, d), \mathcal{O}_{X(r, d)}(D))$ of the complete linear system of effective divisors linearly equivalent to D , for every divisor D on the blow up $X(r, d)$ of $\mathbf{P}^d$ at r generic points, $p_1, \dots, p_r$.

Another, seemingly easier, open problem is:

Problem 1.4 For each integer $r > 0$, determine (inside the divisor class group $\text{Cl}(X(r, d))$) the subsemigroup $\text{EFF}(r, d)$ of classes of effective divisors on $X(r, d)$.

The purpose of this note is to point out the not difficult but perhaps unexpected and not widely appreciated fact that these problems are all equivalent. Using this point of view, the standard conjectural solution (versions of which have previously been given in [S], [Ha1], [G], [Hi] and elsewhere) to these open problems for $d = 2$ can be reformulated in a very concise way, as we show in Section 3.

2 The Problems are Equivalent

We first show that Problems 1.1 and 1.3 are equivalent. Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$. Then $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} I(\mathbf{m}, d)_t$ is equal to $h^0(X(r, d), \mathcal{O}_{X(r, d)}(D))$, where $D = tE_0 - m_1E_1 - \dots - m_rE_r$, E_0 is the pullback to $X(r, d)$ from $\mathbf{P}^d$ of the class of a hyperplane, and for $i > 0$, E_i is the class of the exceptional divisor corresponding to the blow up of the point p_i . Thus a solution to Problem 1.3 implies a solution to Problem 1.1. Conversely, given any divisor class D , we have $D = tE_0 - m_1E_1 - \dots - m_rE_r$ for some integers t and m_i . By permuting the integers m_i , we obtain $\mathbf{m}' = (m'_1, \dots, m'_r)$ with $m'_1 \geq \dots \geq m'_r$. Let s be the greatest integer such that $m'_s > 0$. Note that $m_i < 0$ implies that $-m_iE_i$ is in the base locus of $|D|$. (To see this, take global sections of $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X(r, d)}(D - E_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X(r, d)}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_i}(m_i) \rightarrow 0$, and induct.) We have $h^0(X(r, d), \mathcal{O}_{X(r, d)}(D)) = h^0(X(r, d), \mathcal{O}_{X(r, d)}(tE_0 - m_1E_1 - \dots - m_rE_r)) = h^0(X(r, d), \mathcal{O}_{X(r, d)}(tE_0 - (m_1)_+E_1 - \dots - (m_r)_+E_r))$, where, for any integer j , we let $(j)_+$ denote the maximum of j and 0. Since the points are generic, we also have that $h^0(X(r, d), \mathcal{O}_{X(r, d)}(tE_0 - (m_1)_+E_1 - \dots - (m_r)_+E_r)) = h^0(X(s, d), \mathcal{O}_{X(s, d)}(tE_0 - m'_1E_1 - \dots - m'_sE_s)) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} I(\mathbf{m}', d)_t$, where $\mathbf{m}' = (m'_1, \dots, m'_s)$. Thus a solution to Problem 1.1 implies a solution to Problem 1.3.

Similarly, a solution to Problem 1.4 implies a solution to Problem 1.2, since, given $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$, $\alpha(\mathbf{m}, d)$ is the least t such that $h^0(X(r, d), \mathcal{O}_{X(r, d)}(tE_0 - m_1E_1 - \dots - m_rE_r)) > 0$, and hence such that $tE_0 - m_1E_1 - \dots - m_rE_r$ is the class of an effective divisor. Conversely, $tE_0 - m_1E_1 - \dots - m_rE_r$ is the class of an effective divisor if and only if $tE_0 - (m_1)_+E_1 - \dots - (m_r)_+E_r$ is, hence if and only if $t \geq \alpha(\mathbf{m}', s)$, where $\mathbf{m}'$ is as above. Thus a solution to Problem 1.2 implies a solution to Problem 1.4.

It is now enough to check that a solution to Problem 1.1 implies a solution to Problem 1.2, and vice versa. Clearly, a solution to Problem 1.1 implies a solution to Problem 1.2. Conversely, suppose we want to compute $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} I(\mathbf{m}, d)_t$. Given an integer $i \geq 0$, let $\mathbf{m}(i)$ denote the sequence $(m_1, \dots, m_r, 1, \dots, 1)$ with i additional entries appended, each such additional entry equal to 1. If $\alpha(\mathbf{m}, d) > t$, then clearly $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} I(\mathbf{m}, d)_t = 0$. Otherwise, let j be the least integer such that $\alpha(\mathbf{m}(j), d) > t$. Then $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} I(\mathbf{m}(j), d)_t = 0$, but $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} I(\mathbf{m}(i), d)_t = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} I(\mathbf{m}, d)_t - i$ for $0 \leq i \leq j$, since imposing each single additional generic base point to a nonempty linear system drops the dimension of the linear system by exactly 1. Thus $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} I(\mathbf{m}, d)_t = j$, hence a solution to Problem 1.2 implies a solution to Problem 1.1.

3 A New Formulation of the Standard Conjecture for $\mathbf{P}^2$

In this section we work on $\mathbf{P}^2$; i.e., we fix $d = 2$. We first recall the version of the standard conjectural solution to Problem 1.3 for $\mathbf{P}^2$, given in [Ha1].

Conjecture 3.1 *Let X_r be the blow up of $\mathbf{P}^2$ at r generic points. For each $r \geq 1$: if $C \subset X_r$ is a reduced, irreducible curve of negative self-intersection, then $C^2 = -1$ and C is smooth and rational; moreover, if D is an effective nef divisor on X_r , then $h^1(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(D)) = 0$.*

In this section we show this conjecture is equivalent to the following:

Conjecture 3.2 *If $C \subset X_r$ is a reduced, irreducible curve on the blow up X_r of $\mathbf{P}^2$ at any $r \geq 1$ generic points, then $C^2 \geq g - 1$, where g is the arithmetic genus of C .*

It will be useful here and later to keep in mind that $h^2(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(C)) = 0$ for any effective divisor C , or indeed for any divisor C (such as a nef divisor) such that $C \cdot E_0 \geq 0$. (To see this, recall that the canonical class on X_r is $K_{X_r} = -3E_0 + E_1 + \cdots + E_r$. Since E_0 is the class of a line, it is nef, so it follows from $E_0 \cdot (K_{X_r} - C) = -3 - C \cdot E_0 < 0$ that $K_{X_r} - C$ is not effective. Therefore $h^2(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(C)) = h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(K_{X_r} - C)) = 0$.) Thus, by Riemann-Roch, we have $h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(C)) = (C^2 - C \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1 + h^1(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(C))$ for any divisor C (such as an effective or nef divisor) with $E_0 \cdot C \geq 0$.

First we verify that Conjecture 3.1 implies Conjecture 3.2. Let C be a reduced irreducible curve on X_r . If $C^2 \geq 0$, then C is effective and nef so $h^1(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(C)) = 0$ by Conjecture 3.1. Thus $1 \leq h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(C)) = (C^2 - C \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1$, so $C^2 \geq C \cdot K_{X_r}$. Now, by the genus formula, $2C^2 \geq C^2 + C \cdot K_{X_r} = 2g - 2$, so $C^2 \geq g - 1$. If however $C^2 < 0$, then, by Conjecture 3.1, $C^2 = -1$, and C is smooth and rational, so $g = 0$. Thus $C^2 = -1 = g - 1$, so again $C^2 \geq g - 1$.

We now show, conversely, that Conjecture 3.2 implies Conjecture 3.1. If for any reduced, irreducible curve C we have $C^2 \geq g - 1$, then clearly $C^2 < 0$ implies $C^2 = -1$, $g = 0$ and so C is smooth and rational. (It also follows that $C \cdot K_{X_r} = -1$ and that $1 = h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(C)) \geq (C^2 - C \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1 = 1$, and hence $h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(C)) = (C^2 - C \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1$.) So now it is enough to show that every effective nef divisor D on X_r satisfies $h^1(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(D)) = 0$, or, what is by Riemann-Roch the same, that $h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(D)) = (D^2 - D \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1$. But this is a consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $D \subset X_r$ be an effective nef divisor. Then Conjecture 3.2 implies that one of the following holds:*

1. $|D| = |lA|$ for some reduced irreducible smooth rational curve A with $h^1(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(lA)) = 0 = A^2$ and $h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(lA)) = l + 1$;
2. $|D| = |lA|$ for some reduced irreducible divisor A with $A^2 = A \cdot K_{X_r} = 0$, $h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(lA)) = 1$ and $h^1(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(lA)) = 0$; or
3. $|D|$ contains a reduced and irreducible member, and $h^1(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(D)) = 0$.

Proof. First, consider the case that D is reduced and irreducible. By assumption, $D^2 \geq g - 1$, hence $2D^2 \geq 2g - 2 = D^2 + D \cdot K_{X_r}$, so $D^2 \geq D \cdot K_{X_r}$. Moreover, D is nef, so $D^2 \geq 0$. If $h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(D)) > 1$, then a general section of $|D - E|$ is still reduced and irreducible, but $h^0(X_{r+1}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{r+1}}(D - E)) = h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(D)) - 1$, where E is the exceptional curve coming from the blowing up $X_{r+1} \rightarrow X_r$ of an additional generic point, and we identify D with its pullback to X_{r+1} . If $D^2 = 0$, then $(D - E)^2 = -1$, hence as we saw above $h^0(X_{r+1}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{r+1}}(D - E)) = 1$, and so $2 = h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(D)) \geq (D^2 - D \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1 = 2$, so we have $h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(D)) = (D^2 - D \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1$, as desired. If $D^2 > 0$, then $(D - E)^2 = D^2 - 1$, $h^0(X_{r+1}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{r+1}}(D - E)) = h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(D)) - 1$, and $((D - E)^2 - (D - E) \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1 = (D^2 - D \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1 - 1$. Thus it is enough to show $h^0(X_{r+1}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{r+1}}(D - E)) = ((D - E)^2 - (D - E) \cdot K_{X_{r+1}})/2 + 1$. Continuing in this way, we reduce

to the case that $D^2 = 0$ (in which case we are, as we have seen, done), or to the case that $D^2 > 0$ but $h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(D)) = 1$. But in the latter case, $1 = h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(D)) \geq (D^2 - D \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1$, hence $D \cdot K_{X_r} \geq D^2$, but $D^2 \geq D \cdot K_{X_r}$, so $D^2 = D \cdot K_{X_r}$, and we again have $h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(D)) = (D^2 - D \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1$.

Thus $h^1(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(D)) = 0$ if D is reduced and irreducible, so now assume that no member of $|D|$ is reduced and irreducible. Then either:

- (a) $|D|$ has a fixed component but D is not fixed;
- (b) $|D|$ is fixed but D is not reduced and irreducible; or
- (c) $|D|$ is fixed component free, but its general section is not irreducible, which by Bertini's theorem means that $|D|$ is composed with a pencil.

Suppose that $|D|$ has a fixed component; let N be a reduced irreducible component of the fixed part of $|D|$, but assume $D \neq N$. Choose a reduced irreducible component A of the general member of $|D - N|$. Then $D - (A + N)$ is effective, and we may assume either that $A^2 \geq 0$, or that $A^2 < 0$ and hence A is a fixed component of $|D|$.

First we show that $N^2 \geq 0$. Suppose $N^2 < 0$ (and hence $N^2 = -1 = N \cdot K_{X_r}$). Since D is nef, D must have a reduced irreducible component A' meeting N positively. As we saw above, h^2 vanishes, so $h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(A' + N)) \geq ((A' + N)^2 - (A' + N) \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1 = h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(A')) + A' \cdot N > h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(A'))$, which contradicts N being a fixed component. Thus $0 \leq N^2$ and, since N is reduced and irreducible (so nef) and fixed, we have $1 = h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(N)) = (N^2 - N \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1$ and so $N^2 = N \cdot K_{X_r}$.

Since N is nef, we see $N \cdot A \geq 0$, but $h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(A)) = h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(A + N)) \geq ((A + N)^2 - (A + N) \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1 = h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(A)) + A \cdot N$, so it follows that $A \cdot N = 0$. And now we see that we cannot have $A^2 < 0$, since in that case A is a fixed component, and the same argument we used for N implies that we would have $A^2 \geq 0$. If $A^2 > 0$, then the subspace orthogonal to A must be negative definite (by Sylvester's signature theorem and the Hodge index theorem; see Remark V.1.9.1 of [Hrt]), which contradicts $N^2 \geq 0 = A \cdot N$. Thus $A^2 = 0$. The same argument with A and N switched shows that $N^2 = 0$, and so also $-N \cdot K_{X_r} = 0$. But $0 < h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(A)) = (A^2 - A \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1$, so $-A \cdot K_{X_r} \geq 0$.

Now, since N is nef, it is *standard* [Ha2]; i.e., there is a birational morphism $X_r \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ and a corresponding exceptional configuration $E'_0, E'_1, \dots, E'_r$ such that N is a nonnegative integer linear combination of the classes $H'_0, \dots, H'_r$, where $H'_0 = E'_0$, $H'_1 = E'_0 - E'_1$, $H'_2 = 2E'_0 - E'_1 - E'_2$, and $H'_i = 3E'_0 - E'_1 - \dots - E'_i$, for $i > 2$. Since $N \cdot H'_r = -N \cdot K_{X_r} = 0$, we see that $N \cdot H'_i \geq 0$ for all i . The only nontrivial nonnegative linear combinations N of the H'_i with $N^2 = N \cdot H'_r = 0$ are the nonnegative multiples of H'_9 (thus we see that r must be at least 9). But $h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(lH'_9)) = 1$ for all $l \geq 0$, hence, since N is reduced and irreducible, we have $N = H'_9$.

Now we show that $N = A$. We have $0 = N \cdot A = H'_9 \cdot A \geq H'_r \cdot A = -K_{X_r} \cdot A \geq 0$, thus $E'_i \cdot A = 0$ for all $i > 9$, hence A is a linear combination of $E'_0, \dots, E'_9$, orthogonal to H'_9 with $A^2 \geq 0$. The only such classes are the multiples of H'_9 itself (see, for example, Lemma 2.2 of [LH]). Since A is reduced and irreducible, we have $A = H'_9$, as before. Thus $D = lH'_9$ for some $l \geq 2$, and we have $h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(D)) = 1$ and $h^1(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(D)) = 0$, giving part (2) of the lemma. (This also shows that item (a) above does not occur.)

So finally, suppose D is fixed component free, but does not have a reduced and irreducible general member. Then it must be composed with a pencil. Thus a general member of $|D|$ is a sum $D_1 + \dots + D_l$ of reduced irreducible and linearly equivalent curves (hence $|D| = |lD_1|$), with $2 \leq h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(D_1)) = (D_1^2 - D_1 \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1$ (hence $2 \leq D_1^2 - D_1 \cdot K_{X_r}$), and $h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(lD_1)) \leq$

$l + 1$. Therefore, $l + 1 \geq h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(lD_1)) \geq (l^2 D_1^2 - lD_1 \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1$ (hence $2 \geq lD_1^2 - D_1 \cdot K_{X_r}$, and so $2 \geq (l - 1)D_1^2 + D_1^2 - D_1 \cdot K_{X_r} \geq 2 + (l - 1)D_1^2$, which, since $D_1^2 \geq 0$, implies $D_1^2 = 0$ and so $2 = -D_1 \cdot K_{X_r}$ and $g = 0$). Now $l + 1 = h^0(X_r, \mathcal{O}_{X_r}(lD_1)) \geq (l^2 D_1^2 - lD_1 \cdot K_{X_r})/2 + 1 = l + 1$, and part (1) follows. ■

References

- [G] A. Gimigliano, *On Linear Systems of Plane Curves*, Ph. D. thesis, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario (1987).
- [Ha1] B. Harbourne, *The geometry of rational surfaces and Hilbert functions of points in the plane*, Can. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc. 6 (1986), 95–111.
- [Ha2] B. Harbourne, *Complete linear systems on rational surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 289 (1985), Number 1, 213–226.
- [Hrt] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, GTM 52, (1977), pp. xvi + 496.
- [LH] M. Lahyane and B. Harbourne, *Irreducibility of -1 -Classes on Anticanonical Rational Surfaces and Finite Generation of the Effective Monoid*, to appear, Pac. J. Math.
- [Hi] A. Hirschowitz, *Une conjecture pour la cohomologie des diviseurs sur les surfaces rationnelles génériques*, Jour. Reine Angew. Math. 397 (1989), 208–213.
- [S] B. Segre, *Alcune questioni su insiemi finiti di punti in geometria algebrica*, Atti Convegno intern. di Geom. Alg. di Torino, 15–33.